1	
2 3	CORRECTIONS TO MINUTES
4	South Carolina Board of Cosmetology
5	10:00 A.M., March 12, 2013
6	Synergy Business Park
7	Kingstree Building, Conference Room 105
8	110 Centerview Drive, Columbia, SC 29210
9	
10	
11	View the Board Meeting On-line at www.llr.state.sc.us/POL/Cosmetology
12	Masking Called to Ondon
13 14	Meeting Called to Order Public notice of this meeting was properly posted at the SC Board of Cosmetology office,
15	Synergy Business Park, Kingstree Building and provided to all requesting persons, organizations,
16	and news media in compliance with Section 30-4-80 of the South Carolina Freedom of
17	Information Act.
18	
19	Pledge of Allegiance
20	
21	Rules of the Meeting Read by the Chairman
22	
23	Introduction of Board Members:
24	Chairperson Melanie C. Thompson called the regular meeting of the Board of Cosmetology to order.
25	Other Board members present for the meeting included, Janice Curtis, Selena M. Brown, and Stephanie
26	Nye
27	Staff Members Participating in the Meeting:
28	Sara McCartha, Advice Counsel, Andrew Rogers, Assistant General Counsel, Tracey McCarley,
29	Administrator, Matteah Taylor, Roz Bailey-Glover, Jacquetta Wilson, Administrative Staff, Cecelia P.
30	Englert, Court Reporter
31	All Other Persons Attending:
32 33	Andre L. Douglas, Chesley Phillips, Klayne Rhodes, Stephanie Ledbetter, Demetrius Livingston, Colleen Large, Steven Dawson, Gloria Smith, Katherine Bodnar, Nicole Nigro, Angie Shuler, Carolyn
34	Vetsch, Joanne Spano, Joe Grimaud, Angela Sanghad, Alen Ray, Edith Boulware
35	veisen, veisen, veisen Spane, vee Stimaaa, tingela Sanghaa, tilen ray, Balai Beatware
36	Approval of Excused Absences – Cindy Rodgers
37	
38	MOTION:
39	Ms. Brown made a motion to approve the absence of Ms. Cindy Rodgers. Ms. Curtis seconded the
40	motion, which carried unanimously.
41	motion, which carries shammously.
42	Chairman's Remarks – Melanie Thompson
43	Ms. Thompson reported that she had a meeting with LLR Administration regarding the renewal issues
44	and that LLR is doing everything they can to ensure the renewal problems experienced this year will not
45	happen again, and that all licensees will be renewed. Ms. Thompson stated she also attended a couple of
46	meetings with a coalition group in an effort to come up with a model practice act for all states to combat
47	the threat of deregulation. The coalition is working on the basic requirements for licensure and

48 developing a core curriculum that schools can agree upon. The NIC Regional Meeting in North Carolina is coming up and all Board members should make an effort to attend. The Board has been working on 49 50 the regulation package and cleaning up some items. The Board is aware of the issues facing the schools and is working on the draft letter to submit to the AACS Government Relations Committee. 51

52

Administrator's Remarks – Tracey McCarley

53 54 55

Regulation Updates

Ms. McCarley stated that in the Boards packets are the regulation updates that were submitted and published on January 25, 2013.

57 58 59

60

56

State Ethics Commission – Statement of Economic Interest Report

- Ms. McCarley stated that the Statements of Economic Interest must be submitted before April 15, 2013.
- The Board must report their statements to the commission, and use the telephone number in the packet if 61 62 they need assistance with completing the packet.

63 64

65

67

- Ms. McCarley stated the following continuing education topics covered on the agenda under, c, d and e, were already covered during the March 8, 2013 meeting:
- c. CE Hours Used for Renewal Purposes (must come from Approved SC CE List) 66
 - d. CE in February and March of Renewal Years
 - e. CE Exemption 40-13-250(A)

68 69 70

Ms. Thompson asked the Board if they wanted to discuss the March 8, 2013 meeting. There were no comments.

71 72

Old Business

73 74 75

Approval of License with Background Report - Andre L. Douglas

- 76 Mr. Douglas appeared before the Board to answer questions regarding his background report. Mr.
- 77 Douglas provided additional documents regarding the charges which were reviewed by the Board with some discussion.

78

79 80

MOTION:

- 81 Ms. Brown made a motion to approve the license based upon the correction to the last arrest record. The
- license was granted with a two-year probationary period, and a SLED report must be provided at his 82
- expense, to the Board, at the end of each year. Ms. Curtis seconded the motion, which carried 83
- 84 unanimously.

85 86

Approval of License with Education Concerns - Nicole E Nigro

- Ms. Nigro previously appeared before the Board on January 2013. Ms. Nigro completed 1,000 hours of 87
- 88 the required 1,500 hours and wants to use her work experience to cover the missing 500 hours. Ms.
- 89 Nigro submitted two employer letters indicating three and five years of work experience, respectively.
- She also included a tax payer data sheet from the IRS as proof of work experience. 90

91 92

MOTION:

93 Ms. Curtis made a motion to approve the license based on the work history presented. Ms. Nye seconded 94 the motion, which carried unanimously.

95 96

New Business

Governor Haley's Executive Order re: Regulatory Review Task Force – Sara McCartha

Ms. Thompson wanted to contact Ms. Rodgers to include her in the conversation, however, Ms.

McCartha cautioned the Board against contacting Ms. Rodgers because the Board had already voted to
excuse her absence from today's meeting. Ms. Rodgers can always send in recommendations after the
meeting and the information is posted on the website for anyone to make comments. Ms. Thompson
wanted Ms. Rodgers input so she was called.

Ms. McCartha continued the meeting and read Governor Haley's Executive Order which stated that on February 12, 2013, the Governor issued Executive Order 2013-02 establishing the Regulatory Reform Task Force charging all Agencies with evaluating all current statutes, regulations, rules and policies to identify burdens on South Carolina's businesses. On February 20, 2013 Governor Haley requested that all Boards, and Commissions administered by LLR conduct a regulations review. All Agencies must follow these guidelines in evaluating regulations, rules and policies. Each Agency should include but are not limited to the necessity, complexity, efficiency, effectiveness, redundancy, public complaints or comments, short- and long-term effects, impact on all affected persons, both intended and unintended, and unintended negative consequences. Each Board or Commission completes its review then the Advice Counsel assigned to the Board will prepare a summary to be submitted to a member of LLR's Senior Management Team. The Senior Management Team will further review the statutes, rules, regulations, and policies to identify additional suggestions. Comments received from the public, feedback from the Boards or Commissions, and Senior Managements Review will be compiled for inclusion in the agency's report which is due by May 15, 2013. This is a review and meant as a cost, benefit analysis. There will be a period of public comment at the end of today's session. However, if any member of the public would like to comment on this topic, they may do so after the Board has made their suggestions.

Ms. Curtis stated that the biggest consequence appears to fall on the continuing education (CE) portion of the regulations. The Board has no control over the number of associations being added so she believes that the CE requirements need to be withdrawn related to Section 35-24. Ms. Rodgers joined the Board meeting on the telephone. Ms. Curtis stated it has become a burden on licensees to complete CE's to renew their license and a burden on the providers as they are not updating their programs and submit the same programs over and over again which is of no benefit to the licensees, and only benefit the providers. Ms. Nye commented that the Governor wants the Board to look at things that are a burden on the economy and on businesses specifically, and not on licensees. Ms. Curtis clarified that there would still be continuing education, but not a requirement. If an association is giving a program that is truly beneficial, licensees would be willing to pay for that program. Ms. Brown and Ms. Nye disagreed and wanted the CE requirement to remain in the regulations, but maybe look at the process instead of removing the requirement all together. Some discussion ensued.

Ms. Thompson commented that the bulk of the regulation changes were for clean-up purposes. Ms. Thompson stated that perhaps the license should expire on December 31 then licensees would have until March 10 to renew their license which builds in a grace period. She also suggested that licenses be allowed to expire on the person's birthday to lessen the burden on LLR staff and the influx of large numbers of renewals. Regarding the salon licenses, make them expire May 30th and allow licensees until June 30th to renew. Regarding Section 35-1- (F) (1), when applications come in, the inspectors should inspect the location first and then bring the application before the Board for final approval. Ms. Nye agreed. Regarding Section 35-2 the equipment information was going to be removed, however, the schools asked the Board to put the equipment requirements back into the regulations. In addition, Section 35-4- (E) (4) regarding the transcripts, and suggested not to omit the requirement but maybe the school can get the transcript to the testing company instead. Regarding Section 35-5- (G) referring to comity licensure, which appears under the examinations and reexaminations heading, the text should not

be in the regulation. In addition, the Board does not have a state law exam and that requirement should be eliminated. The state law exam was apparently added in 2010. Ms. Thompson stated that reciprocity should not be treated any less restrictive than people living in this state since the level of fraud is so high with licenses being bought and sold in states that have a national examination. There is room for adjustments, but certainly the requirement should not be less restrictive than the requirements for people who live in South Carolina. Ms. Thompson stated the she will leave the comments regarding the operating of a school to the public attending today. Regarding the statute covering the age exemption for CE's, the text was not worded by the Board. In addition, to make the exemption more licensee friendly, she suggested that the emphasis of the exemption language, be placed on whether the person has consecutive years of service. Ms. Thompson also addressed Section 35-13 and suggested adding a two year license requirement for those coming into this state for licensure. She is concerned about the applicants who live in Michigan, go to school in California, take their examinations in Virginia, but want a South Carolina license. This includes those who received their license by examination, and those who have their license for a week and want to be licensed in South Carolina. Ms. Nye stated that maybe the Board can look at allowing apprenticeships or increasing the work experience hours allowed in lieu of classroom hours.

Ms. McCartha asked for comments from Ms. McCarley regarding some of the complaints received by the Board from consumers and areas to streamline at LLR. Ms. McCarley referred to her staff for comments. Ms. Glover stated that staff is burdened by the high volume of phone calls from licensees who refuse to lookup the information on the website. The web links are helpful to licensees particularly those that help with license information. Ms. Taylor stated that there are recordings on the phone messages to assist the licensees, but they want to speak to a live person, often by-pass the helpful options. Ms. McCarley stated that many people who do not own a computer do go to the library and complete the requirements for renewal at the library. Ms. Thompson stated that the majority of the complaint calls she received focused on the new birth date requirement and she wanted to know what LLR could do to prevent this from happening again, and to get the word out to the public of new requirements. A discussion ensued around e-blasts to licensees. Ms. Nye stated the schools can help with getting the word out and maybe a newsletter can be introduced.

 Ms. Thompson asked LLR staff for their recommendations. Ms. McCartha mentioned issuing a temporary license for individuals or salons could be considered for potential licensees since the temporary license allowance was already in the statute. There was some discussion. Ms. Thompson stated that the inactive option did not make sense and does not help the licensee and should be eliminated, or criteria should be added so that the option makes sense. Ms. Glover stated that it was explained to staff the option was really meant for military personnel, but it's usually the military spouse who requires the inactive license status. Ms. Glover asked the Board to consider our military personnel before eliminating and adding criteria to the inactive license status option. Ms. McCartha stated that the Board should allow the temporary license option for a salon if the inspector cannot complete the inspection on time.

Ms. McCartha accepted comments from the public.

Mr. Allen Ray stated that he had been licensed for thirty years and years ago, served on the Cosmetology Board and the IRC for eight years. CE's should not be removed from the regulations for safety and sanitation reasons. South Carolina was the first one to implement CE's because safety and sanitation information was not getting out to the licensees particularly in rural areas. The CE problems resulted from the licensing cycle being twenty-four months, but the ability to take CE classes was reduced to twenty-one months, and classes were eliminated from January – March. Mr. Ray suggested that starting in December there should be a penalty added for those who did not complete their CE's by December and give licensees a chance from January- March to complete the hours before the license expired on March 10. Mr. Ray suggested that more online programs could streamline the CE process. Consider

eliminating the booth renter license, as Governor Haley initially suggested. The booth renter license became a requirement because, in the past, the IRS did not have a tax code for independent contractors. Today, by providing W2's or 1099's, the independent contractor tax code problem was resolved. He suggested that testing should be given by the schools to apply the practical examination and the written exam be done by the state. If they pass the practical exam then the school would allow them to sit for the written exam with the state. People just want to get to work to feed their family. They don't want to have to wait months for a license. Regarding reciprocity, applicants should be allowed to gain a South Carolina license with a current license in their state and a nationally recognized exam. Rely upon the other state to verify the applicant's education. All of the policies and procedures prohibit people from coming into this state to work. Regarding new school openings, Mr. Ray agrees that inspections should be done prior to the school coming before the Board and the final inspection should be assigned to a Board member. Mr. Ray cautioned the Board about extending a temporary permit to an individual to work on the public. Mr. Ray commented on fines being removed but was glad to see they are back along with suspensions and the revocation of licenses.

Mr. Joe Grimaud stated that he is new to the cosmetology industry and is in partnership with Mr. Allen Ray and Jay Lacy with the online education for cosmetologist. He suggested offering twelve hours of online education to make it convenient for licensees to satisfy the CE requirements as long as quality online programming was available.

Ms. Gloria Smith commented that the CE classes should be broadened to make them interesting to the licensees. Bring in different people with broader business experience in cosmetology. Inspections are still too lenient and contradictory to what instructors teach students in the school about the sanitation laws. CE requirements and the timeframe for license renewals should be on the same calendar because people wait until the last minute to complete their requirements. Ms. Smith does not agree with all online learning because cosmetology is a hands-on industry. LLR's website should be more user-friendly. LLR should share information with providers so they can help to disseminate information to the public and reduce the burden on LLR staff to field all calls. Add more information to the renewal notice to inform the licensees about renewal process changes. Getting licensed quickly is important. She agrees that students should be allowed to take the theory and practical exams after completing 1300-1350 hours, and before graduation. This would allow students who are having difficulty passing either exam to work on those areas and pass the examinations before graduation.

Ms. Angie Shuler commented that there should be some controls on the number of associations allowed to provide CE's. These associations have absolutely no training on how to be a proper provider of education programs. Maybe giving them a handout on how to be a provider would help. Providers should also be placed on probation if they are not doing their job. She agrees that sharing information with the providers would help LLR. Ms. Shuler agrees that cosmetologist are hands-on learners, so giving all online programming is not the best way for them to learn. She also agreed that the examinations should be given while students are still in school to help them acquire gainful employment after graduation. Everyone teaching classes should not have to be an instructor. Education has changed and there are other people out there like speakers and other types of educators who should be able to provide continuing education.

Mr. Steven Dawson commented that per Section 35 -2 regarding the school requirements for equipment was placed in the regulations to protect students from going to schools who only wanted the student's money, and did not have proper equipment. Providing email blasts and updating LLR's website would be helpful. Mr. Dawson agreed that the instructor pool is limited and limits the education possibilities. Mr. Dawson is against online education, particularly now with the problems caused at USC from the online providers, and trade shows failure to submit proper paperwork for credit. Regarding the regulation that maximizes the number of hours a student can be in school, eight hours, if that

- requirement is removed, that would allow an alternative schedule for a student to have jobs on the
- weekend. Communication is an ongoing issue. Board meetings should occur more frequent particularly
- when it comes to new schools needing to open but are forced to wait for the Board meeting to convene.
- Meetings used to be monthly, and the Board should consider the option. Applications for individual
- licensure, with SLED reports, or hours that are not correct or individuals with school hours beyond the
- 255 twenty-four month period can receive a determination from the Board before they pay their money to
- PCS and find out later from the Board that they are not eligible to take the test. Mr. Dawson also stated
- that providers were told not to answer questions in class, because they gave out wrong information and
- should direct questions to LLR. With the correct information from LLR, providers can help with
- questions without directing all questions to LLR. He agrees with giving citations for CE providers. He is
- all for pre-graduation testing for both the practical and theory examinations after completing 1350 hours.

261262

263

- Ms. Thompson interpreted the comments segment of the meeting and stated that the Board has to revisit some issues from today's agenda item (5) as follows:
- c. CE Hours Used for Renewal Purposes (must come from Approved SC CE List)
- d. CE in February and March of Renewal Years
- 266 e. CE Exemption 40-13-250 (A)

267

- **268 MOTION**:
- Ms. Curtis made a motion to go into executive session for legal advice. Ms. Nye seconded the motion,
- which carried unanimously.

271272

The Board returned from executive session where no votes were taken or motions made.

273

- Ms. Nye stated that in light of the confusion and difficulties surrounding this renewal period she will
- make the following motion regarding Section 35-23 covering continued education and expired licenses.

276

- 277 **MOTION**:
- Ms. Nye made a motion that the Board interpret Section 35-23 to mean that licensees have from March
- 279 11, 2013 to March 10, 2015, the entire licensure period, to complete the twelve required CE hours prior
- to March 10, 2015. The Board will also allow a grace period until June 10, 2013 to allow all licensees
- 281 who were affected by the prior interpretation to complete CE's.

282

- **283 MOTION:**
- Ms. Nye made a motion that the Board interpret Section 40-13-250 regarding biennial renewal of
- licenses, and that the Board grant CE exemption to anyone who's license has lapsed, solely due to the
- lack of CE credits, based on the Boards past interpretation of Section 35-23 and otherwise meet the
- exemption requirements.

288 289

- **MOTION:**
- Ms. Nye made a motion for the Board to interpret Section 35-13-270 regarding reciprocity to
- 291 nonresidents that the Board license those applicants who are in good standing in another state without
- discipline and has taken the national examination.

293

Ms. Curtis seconded all three motions, which carried unanimously.

Public Comments – Governor's Executive Order (continued)

295296

297

298

299300

301

302

303 304

305

306

307

308 309

310 311

312

313 314

315 316

317

318 319

320 321

322

323

324 325

326 327

328

329 330

331 332

333

334

335

336 337

338

339 340

341

342

343 344

345

agrees to having monthly meetings.

Ms. Colleen Large agreed that LLR's website required updating and that would cut down on the number of phone calls received. She stated that an online option to check your own CE hours would help licensees. Ms. Large stated that providers were told that based on poor class reviews and complaints, the Board could take away a providers ability to conduct CE classes, and she believes that removing the ability to provide CE's is more than what a citation could do. Receiving correct information from LLR would help with communicating correct information. Monthly meetings are a good idea and decision could be made faster. Meeting with applicants before being allowed to test with PCS is also a good idea since a few people had expired hours but PCS allowed them to test and took their money. Ms. Large stated that schools should come before the Board before starting initial construction on a school to make sure they have everything in place before spending money on constructing a new site. She agrees that non-license instructors should be allowed to teach, like business instructors, but a word of caution, some instructors programming would be purely sales driven. CE classes should remain generic in nature. New providers should have parameters. Limiting the pool of providers will limit the quality of education and the knowledge bar would not be raised. Ms. Large stated that first time fines for first time offenders, comes down to personal responsibility. The Board cannot just trust first time licensees coming in from other states that they obtained their licenses legally before getting a license in South Carolina. Allowing schools to provide testing is a bad idea and could lead to another World Beauty School problem where the practical examination passing rate was rigged and extremely higher scores were just given to students. Doing away with CE's particularly when it comes to sanitation and safety is preposterous and should not be allowed! She disagreed with the suggestion of having more online learning because our professionals are tactile learners so providing only online programs is not the way to go. Ms. Large felt that the December expiration date for CE's and the March licenses expiration timeline works and should not be changed. Licensees must take responsibility and complete their classes.

difference within the Asian community. Some people don't understand the subject matter being taught due to the language barrier. Doing away with CE's could cause immeasurable harm to the public if sanitation is removed. The removal of CE's would cause the reuse of nail files, toe separators, scrapers and the transmission of deadly bacteria and disease or even death. Trade shows and CE classes should be related to the profession or scope of practice. In the past, the Board required a person who had sanctions against their salon, but wanted to sell that salon, to inform the new buyer of the sanctions against the salon being purchased. The news letter was removed because someone stated there was no money for a news letter. The CE's look-up feature would be a great item for licensees to utilize. Trade shows are difficult to monitor attendance hours. Providing twelve hours online is already being done. PCES and their associations used to provide twelve CE's online. She does not recommend a twelve hour online program because fraud is widespread with online programs, and you may not know who is taking the course and it's easy to cheat on tests. Ms. Phillips commented that the booth renter licenses were not just put in place because of the deficient tax code, but because salon owners were tired of getting into trouble with the Board because of the individual licensees, so the booth renters license placed the responsibility on the individual licensee to comply with local laws. Taking exams at the school is a bad idea and World Beauty School was a prime example of what could happen. Broadening the scope of CE classes is a good idea. Ms. Phillips disagrees with the March 10 to March 11 CE expiration timeframe. She agrees that LLR's website should be more user-friendly and corrections to the web site would be helpful. Ms. Phillips also agreed with the early examinations for students. There are too many associations and out of all who are approved providers, typically only five association representatives consistently show up for all Board meetings. Bad providers should be removed and she thought that was

already in place. All CE providers who are licensed instructors, does not mean they can teach. She also

Ms. Chesley Phillips commented that the problem with the nail industry has a lot to do with the cultural

- Ms. Angela Sarvghad stated that she was a licensed cosmetologist who attended CE classes. She helped to facilitate a class and of the 120 people in attendance only about 15 were actually paying attention.

 The attendees were instead talking, texting, not listening, and disruptive. She stated that her friend paid for a CE class which, it turns out, was not valid. Her friend did not know the class would not be counted by USC so she wasted her money. Money is wasted in these CE classes, time is wasted and the participants do not learn anything. Ms. Sarvghad stated she learns more from hair shows than from the approved CE classes.
- Ms. McCartha stated the website would be open for addition comments regarding the Governor's Executive Order.
 - Mr. Ray commented that the number of providers allowed to provide CE's used to be in the law. It's no longer there to allow for diversity, from other providers and to maintain quality. Online classes are timed and multiple states offer online programs, and online programs are good for future expansion.
 - Ms. Colleen Large added that the majority of the complaints she received had to do with online providers issues. Some online providers sent out multiple emails with misleading information to licensees that cause mass confusion.

Approval to Teach Esthetics Program (school application) - J. Harley Bonds Career Center - Wayne Rhodes

- Mr. Rhodes appeared before the Board to obtain permission to teach esthetics in addition to cosmetology Level I and Level II. The career center recently renovated an existing space to accommodate the new programs. He stated that they are nine months late with the application, and went through the Board of Education for approval because the school was already licensed by the Board and he previously misunderstood and thought that students could start the new program on August 2012 through January 2013, and did not need Board approval again. He did not find out until months later that the Board
- approval was needed. There are eight students who attended classes during this timeframe who are
 waiting in study hall for a determination. Mr. Rhodes asked that the Board consider the hours the
 students already completed before the school was asked to cease instruction. A brief discussion ensued.

MOTION:

- 378 Ms. Curtis made a motion to go into executive session for legal advice. Ms. Nye seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.
- 381 The Board returned from executive session where no votes were taken or motions made.

MOTION:

- Ms. Curtis made a motion to approve the school application and to fast track the inspection of the new
 section as well as give the students who attended classes credit from August 2012 through January 2013.
 The school license would be placed on a one-year probationary period. Any new violations would result
- in an administrative suspension. Ms. Nye seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Approval of Licenses with Background Reports - Angela N Sarvghad

- Ms. Sarvghad appeared before the Board to answer questions about her background report. Ms.
- 391 Sarvghad stated she left her son unattended in the house with someone for four hours and it was her

responsibility to know where he was at all times. Ms. Sarvghad stated she plead guilty to a misdemeanor and accepted the responsibility. A brief discussion ensued.

MOTION:

Ms. Curtis made a motion to approve the license. Ms. Brown seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Approval of Licenses with Background Reports - Stephanie A Ledbetter

Ms. Ledbetter appeared before the Board to answer questions about her background check and the three charges, one of which was a felony. Ms. Ledbetter stated she was in a wreck in 2009 which resulted in three charges. She attended Alcohol Anonymous classes as well as parenting classes. She learned her lesson and there have not been any charges since 2009.

MOTION:

Ms. Curtis made a motion to approve the license with a one-year probationary period. Ms. Ledbetter will provide the Board with a SLED report at the end of the year. If any new charges occur, she will return to the Board. Ms. Brown seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Approval of Licenses with Background Reports - Katherine B Bodnar-Snipes

Ms. Snipes appeared before the Board to answer question about her background check which contained a felony charge. The charges involved her boyfriend babysitting her son while she was at work when an unlawful incident occurred. Ms. Snipes stated she accepted the charge because, the guilty party, her boyfriend, did not show up for court. She also took the charge to close the case which she did not want to drag out for months. The felony was dropped to a lesser charge. Ms. Snipes stated she was on probation until the fines were paid or at least until January 2014.

MOTION:

Ms. Nye made a motion to approve the license with a one-year probationary period and a SLED report submitted to the Board at the end of the year at Ms. Snipes' expense. Ms. Brown seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Approval of License by Exam - 24 Month Education Exceeded - Demetrius D Livingston

Ms. Livingston recently passed the examinations in 2012, but graduated in 2000. Ms. Livingston stated that she graduated in 2000 and took the board examinations and passed but did not receive a license. Ms. Livingston stated that Mr. Jones, from the Board, gave the test and was later told the entire school failed. Ms. Livingston stated she was very sick at the time, but she stayed in touch with the Board. In 2011, she finally received her transcript information from the school after trying to get the information for years. While waiting for the high school transcript, she started attending another school but was in a car accident. She passed the theory and practical examinations. She called the Board to see if she could work before the license was received and they said okay. She then received a letter from LLR staff so she stopped working. Ms. Livingston stated she completed her hours and exams and feels she has earned her license. Ms. Livingston described her hours of training and covered the timeline of attendance and originally passed the board exams in 1998 or 1999 before the laws changed. She took the examinations again with PCS in 2012 and passed, but never received a license. A discussion ensued as the Board struggled to understand the timeline given by Ms. Livingston. Ms. Thompson stated the Board would try

- 438 to obtain research information to determine if she passed in 2000 but the Board and LLR staff needed
- 439 time to do the research.
- **440 MOTION**:
- Ms. Brown made a motion to defer the determination until the test scores from 2000 could be obtained.
- Ms. Curtis seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

443 444

Ms. McCarley will work with Ms. Livingston to obtain the test score from CTP testing service for the year 2000.

446 447

- Approval to Renew License without CE Rosalie C. Carolyn German Vetsch
- 448 Ms. Vetsch appeared before the Board seeking to renew her license without completing CE credit hours.
- Ms. Vetsch is 59 years old with 29 years of licensure. Apparently, Ms. Vetsch was exempt for six years
- but did not meet the qualifications for the CE exemption. Ms. Thompson let her know that the earlier
- motion allows her to obtain the CE's by June 10, 2013 without penalty. A discussion ensued. Ms.
- Thompson stated that no motion would be made and Ms. Vetsch would be required to take the twelve
- 453 CE hours. The earlier motion made by the Board allows individuals like Ms. Vetsch to take the CE
- hours to renew their license, up until June 10, 2013 at the current \$30 fee.

455 456

- **Approval of Student Enrollment Form**
- The student enrollment form was revised to allow data simplification and is being submitted to the Board for review and approval. The Board reviewed the form.

459 460

- **MOTION:**
- Ms. Brown made a motion to approve the revised form. Ms. Curtis seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

463 464

Discussion

465 466

467 468

469

- On site Special Occasion Cosmetology Services (Wedding, etc.)
- Ms. Thompson stated that the Board received an email regarding special occasions and cosmetology services being rendered to clients for weddings, etc. Ms. Thompson clarified that an established photo studio would need a salon license, but the Board would need to do some research on the matter of photo shoots and movies and would respond to the requester at a later date.

470 471 472

473

474

Ms. Thompson stated that she was provided with a call log from LLR staff which indicated that from March 1-8, 2013 staff received 9,377 calls, 1,545 additional calls were transferred to staff, and 3,161 calls were abandoned. Ms. Thompson asked that Ms. McCartha include those statistics in her report to the Governor's Task Force.

475 476

Public Comments

477 478 479

480

481

482

483 484 Ms. Phillips let the Board know that the Aiken County Career Center maintains records on past exams and maybe contacting them would help Ms. Livingston. Ms. Phillips wanted to know when was it okay to start informing individuals of the CE changes to Section 35-23 and the grace period and the \$30 renewal fee instead of the \$130 reinstatement fee. Ms. McCartha stated that legal would place something on the website and send out an email. Only those who did not complete the CEs will be granted the June 10, 2013 extension and the \$30 fee. If they did complete the CE's and did not renew

the license on time it's a reinstatement fee of \$130. This information is now on record and can be referred to by licensees on the web. Mr. Joe Grimaud stated that some callers he received regarding the online classes taken with PCES only took the three-hour class and did not take the other three-hour class for a total of six hours. The Board should consider making the fee \$30 for everyone to avoid more calls. Mr. Grimaud stated that earlier, he was not promoting all online classes be consider since live classes are still needed and that he was only proposing to offer the possibility of earning twelve hours online. Mr. Steven Dawson asked that the new student enrollment form be posted on LLR's website and the new information should be emailed to all of the schools. Mr. Allen Ray asked if the April 1, 2013 date was still the start date for new classes which was confirmed by the Board. Mr. Ray was told not to open classes until April 1, 2013. Ms. Large had some comments about updating her classroom slides to include today's information and changes. Ms. Thompson confirmed that the Board received submissions from Ms. Furtick's trade show which will be reviewed this week and the Board will take action on Monday, March 18, 2013. Also on Monday, approximately 5-6 consent agreements will be given to the Board for review and case determination. Ms. Livingston wanted to know if she could work on her passing exam scores until the additional information was found by the Board. Ms. Thompson stated that she should wait until May and that the Board may be able to add her case into the agenda on March 18, 2013. Adjournment **MOTION:** Ms. Curtis made a motion to adjourn. Ms. Nye seconded the motion, which carried unanimously. The next SC Board of Cosmetology meetings are scheduled for March 18, 2013, May 13, 2013 and May 14, 2013